arXiv:1604.06911v1 [math.OA] 23 Apr 2016

# JORDAN COUNTERPARTS OF RICKART AND BAER $*$-ALGEBRAS

SHAVKAT AYUPOV AND FARHODJON ARZIKULOV

Abstract. There are Jordan analogues of annihilators in Jordan algebras which are called Jordan annihilators. The present paper is devoted to investigation of those Jordan algebras every Jordan annihilator of which is generated by an idempotent as an inner ideal. We prove that a finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra satisfies this condition if and only if it has no nilpotent elements, and in this case it is a direct sum of simple Jordan algebras.

## Introduction

It is known that every maximal associative subalgebra of a finite-dimensional, formally real, unital Jordan algebra over the field of real numbers is generated by its idempotents and every family of orthogonal idempotents of this Jordan algebra has the least upper bound [1]. Such Jordan algebras are finite dimensional JB-algebras. In [2] it is proved that the above conditions are equivalent to the following algebraic condition, using a Jordan analogies of annihilators: for every subset $S$ of a JB-algebra $A$, the Jordan annihilator $P^{\perp} := \{a \in A : U_a x = 0, (\forall x \in S)\}$ of the set $P = \{a^2 : a \in S\}$ is an inner ideal, generated by an idempotent $e \in A$, i.e. $P^{\perp} = U_e(A)$. A Jordan algebra satisfying this algebraic condition we will call a Baer Jordan algebra.

Present paper is devoted to investigating of Jordan algebras with more general condition than formally reality. As a corollary of the Jordan-von Neumann-Wigner theorem [1] we have that every finite-dimensional, formally real, unital Jordan algebra over the field of real numbers is a Baer Jordan algebra [4]. This definition of a Baer Jordan algebra, of course, is quite compatible to the definition of a Baer $*$-algebra. It turns out every Baer Jordan algebra has no nilpotent elements. For a Jordan algebra with no nilpotent elements we also found another algebraic condition equivalent to the above condition with another Jordan analogue of the annihilator.

In this paper we also investigate Rickart Jordan algebras which are Jordan analogies of Rickart $*$-algebras. For the first time, Rickart Jordan algebras were mentioned in [3]. The definition of a Rickart Jordan algebra, introduced by us, is also quite compatible to the definition of a Rickart $*$-algebra.

The last section of the paper is devoted to finite dimensional Baer Jordan algebras. It is proved that every finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra without nilpotent elements is a Baer Jordan algebra and every such Jordan algebra is a direct sum of simple Jordan algebras.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17C10, 17C27; Secondary 17C20, 17C50, 17C65.

*Key words and phrases.* Jordan algebra, annihilator, $*$-algebra.

This paper partially supported by TWAS, The Abdus Salam, International Centre, for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Grant: 13-244RG/MATHS/AS_I-UNESCO FR:3240277696.

We use [4], [5] as a standard reference on notations and terminology.

## 1. Rickart Jordan algebras

Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra and

$$A_+ = \{a^2 : a \in A\}, \{abc\} = (ab)c + (cb)a - (ac)b,$$
$$U_a b = 2(ab)a - a^2 b, U_a(A) = \{U_a x : x \in A\},$$
$$S^{\perp} := \{a \in A : U_a x = 0, (\forall x \in S)\},$$
$${}^{\perp}S := \{x \in A : U_a x = 0, (\forall a \in S)\}$$

and

$${}^{\perp}S_+ = {}^{\perp} S \cap A_+, U_a(A)_+ = U_a(A) \cap A_+.$$

By the Shirshov-Cohn's theorem we have the following identities:

$$(U_a b)^2 = U_a U_b a^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\{ab(1-a)\}^2 = 1/4[\{aU_b((1-a)a)(1-a)\} + U_a U_b (1-a)^2 + U_{1-a} U_b a^2] \quad (2)$$

By the Macdonald's theorem we have

$$U_a U_b U_a c = U_{U_a b} c, \quad (3)$$
$$4(xy)^2 = 2xU_y x + U_x y2 + U_y x2 \quad (3')$$

and

$$U_a U_a b = U_{a^2} b, \quad (4)$$

if $a$ and $b$ belong to one strongly associative subalgebra of $A$, then

$$U_a U_b c = U_{ab} c. \quad (4')$$

Recall that a subalgebra $B$ of a Jordan algebra $A$ is said to be strongly associative, if

$$a(cb) = (ac)b$$

for all $a \in B$, $b \in B$ and $c \in A$.

Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra. Fix the following conditions:

(A1) for every element $x \in A_+$ there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $\{x\}^{\perp} = U_e(A)$;

(B1) for every element $x \in A$ there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = U_e(A)_+$.

*Definition.* A Jordan algebra satisfying condition (A1) is called *a Rickart Jordan algebra*.

For the first time Rickart Jordan algebras have been mentioned in [3].

*Example.* The following Jordan algebra is an example of an exceptional Jordan algebra, which is a Rickart Jordan algebra: let $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ be the 27-dimensional Jordan algebra of $3 \times 3$ hermitian matrices over octonions or Cayley numbers $\mathbb{O}$. By proposition 3.4 (see section 3) $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is a Rickart Jordan algebra. So the set $\mathcal{R}$ of all infinite sequences with components from $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ and finite quantity of nonzero elements is a Rickart Jordan algebra with respect to componentwise algebraic operations.

Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra, and $S$ is a nonempty subset of $A$, we write

$$L(S) = \{x \in A : xs = 0(\forall s \in S)\},$$

and call $L(S)$ the left-annihilator of $S$. Similarly,

$$R(S) = \{x \in A : sx = 0(\forall s \in S)\}$$

denotes the right-annihilator of S. Let $x \in A$. Say $L(\{x\}) = A(1-e)$, $R(\{x\}) = (1-f)A$, where $e$ and $f$ are idempotents.

*Definition.* Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra. The involution $*$ is said to be proper, if $a \neq 0$ implies $aa^* \neq 0$ for any $a \in A$.

Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra and $A_{sa} = \{a \in A : a^* = a\}$, $A_+ = \{aa^* : a \in A\}$, $U_a b = aba$ and $U_a(A) = \{U_a x : x \in A\}$, $U_a(A)_+ = U_a(A) \cap A_+$. Also we introduce the following designations:

$$S^{\perp} := \{a \in A : U_a x = 0, x \in S\},^{\perp} S := \{x \in A : U_a x = 0, a \in S\},$$

$$^{\perp}S_+ =^{\perp} S \cap A_+.$$

Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra. Then the set $A_{sa}$ is a Jordan algebra with Jordan multiplication $a \cdot b = 1/2(ab + ba)$.

*Definition.* A Rickart *-algebra is a *-algebra $A$ such that, for each $x \in A$, $R(\{x\}) = gA$ with $g$ a projection ($g^* = g$, $g^2 = g$) (note that such a projection is unique). It follows that $L(\{x\}) = (\{x^*\}^r)^* = (hA)^* = Ah$ for a suitable projection $h$.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let $A$ be a Rickart $*$-algebra. Then the Jordan algebra $A_{sa}$ satisfies the conditions (A1) and (B1).*

**Proof.** (A1): Let $x \in A_+$. Then there exists a projection $e \in A$ such that $L(\{x\}) = Ae$ and $axa = 0$ for any $a \in L(\{x\})$. In particular, for all $a \in L(\{x\})_{sa}$ we have $axa = 0$. Since $a = ae = a^* = ea = eae$, $a \in L(\{x\})_{sa}$ we obtain

$$L(\{x\})_{sa} = eA_{sa}e, eA_{sa}e \subseteq \{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}. \qquad (1)$$

Now, let $a \in \{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}$. Then $axa = 0$. Suppose $a \notin L(\{x\})$; then $ax \neq 0$, i.e. $ab \neq 0$ for an element $b \in A_{sa}$ such that $x = bb^*$. Otherwise, if $ab = 0$ then $ax = abb^* = (ab)b^* = 0b^* = 0$. The involution $*$ of a Rickart $*$-algebra is proper [6]. So $(ab)(ab)^* \neq 0$ and $(ab)(ab)^* = abb^*a$. Therefore $abb^*a \neq 0$. Hence $axa \neq 0$ and $a \notin \{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $a \in L(\{x\})$. Therefore $\{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} \subseteq L(\{x\})_{sa}$. Thus

$$\{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} = L(\{x\})_{sa} = eA_{sa}e = U_e(A_{sa}).$$

(B1): Take $x \in A_{sa}$. We have $L(\{x\}) = Ae$ for some projection $e$ in $A$, and $(xa)x = xax = 0$ for every $a \in L(\{x\})_+$. Hence

$$L(\{x\})_+ \subseteq^{\perp} \{x\}_+.$$

Now, let $a \in^{\perp} \{x\}_+$. Then $xax = 0$, i.e. $xbbx = 0$, where $b$ is an element in $A_{sa}$ such that $a = b^2$. We have $xb(xb)^* = xbbx$. Since the involution $*$ is proper it follows that $xb = 0$. Hence $xa = xbb = 0$. Therefore $a \in L(\{x\})_+$. Hence $^{\perp}\{x\}_+ \subseteq L(\{x\})_+$. Thus

$$^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = L(\{x\})_+ = eA_+e = U_e(A)_+$$

by (1). ▷

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra. Suppose the Jordan algebra $A_{sa}$ of all self-adjoint elements of $A$ is a Rickart Jordan algebra. Then $A$ has a unit element.*

**Proof.** Take $x = 0$. We have $\{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} = U_e(A_{sa})$ for some projection $e$ in $A$. But $\{x\}^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} = A_{sa}$. Hence $U_e(A_{sa}) = eA_{sa}e = A_{sa}$. Then $ea = e(ebe) = ebe = a$, where $a = ebe$, and $ae = (ebe)e = ebe = a$ for every $a \in A_{sa}$. Since $A = A_{sa} + iA_{sa}$ we have $ea = ae = a$ for all $a \in A$, i.e. $e$ is an identity element of $A$. ▷

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra. Suppose the Jordan algebra $A_{sa}$ of all self-adjoint elements of $A$ is a Rickart Jordan algebra and the involution $*$ of $A$ is proper. Then $A$ is a Rickart $*$-algebra.*

**Proof.** Let $x \in A$. Then $\{xx^*\}^\perp \cap A_{sa} = U_e(A_{sa})$ for some projection $e$ in $A$. We have $axx^*a = 0$ for all $a \in \{xx^*\}^\perp$. Hence, since the involution $*$ is proper we have $ax = 0$ for all $a \in \{xx^*\}^\perp \cap A_{sa}$. Therefore

$$\{xx^*\}^\perp \subseteq A_{sa} \cap L(\{x\})$$

and

$$U_e(A) \subseteq L(\{x\}).$$

By lemma 1.2 $A$ has a unit element. Hence $e \in L(\{x\})$, i.e. $ex = 0$. Then for each $a \in A$, $aex = 0$. Hence $Ae \subseteq L(\{x\})$.

Let $a \in L(\{x\})$. Then $ax = 0$. Hence $a^*axx^*a^*a = 0$ and $a^*a \in \{xx^*\}^\perp \cap A_{sa}$. Therefore $a^*a = ea^*ae$ and $(1-e)a^*a(1-e) = 0$. Hence, since the involution $*$ is proper we have $a(1-e) = 0$, i.e. $a = ae$ and $a \in Ae$. Thus $L(\{x\}) = Ae$. ▷

**Lemma 1.4.** 1) *Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra. Then*

*a) $A$ has a unit element;*

*b) the algebra $A$ has no nilpotent elements.*

**Proof.** a). Take $x = 0$. We have $\{x\}^\perp = U_e(A)$ for some idempotent $e$ in $A$. But $\{x\}^\perp = A$. Hence $U_e(A) = A$. Then $ea = eU_eb = U_eb = a$ and $ae = (U_eb)e = U_eb = a$ for all $a \in A$. Hence we have $ea = ae = a$ for all $a \in A$, i.e. $e$ is a unit element of $A$.

b). $A$ has a unit element by a) of lemma 1.4. Suppose $a^2 = 0$, $a \in A$; then $U_a1 = 0$ and $a \in \{1\}^\perp$. By the condition there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $\{1\}^\perp = U_e(A)$. Since $U_ee = e$ we have $e \in U_e(A)$ and $e \in \{1\}^\perp$. Hence $U_e1 = 0$, i.e. $e = 0$. Therefore $\{1\}^\perp = \{0\}$ and $a = 0$. Suppose $a^3 = 0$, $a \in A$; then $a^4 = 0$ and by the previous part of the proof $a^2 = 0$ since $a^4 = (a^2)^2 = 0$. Hence $a = 0$.

Fix $n$. Suppose, if $a^k = 0$, $k \leq n$, $a \in A_+$ then $a = 0$ and $a^{n+1} = 0$. Then, if $n = 2m$ then $a^{2m+2} = (a^{m+1})^2 = 0$. By the previous part of the proof $a^{m+1} = 0$ and by inductive supposition $a = 0$. if $n = 2m+1$ then $a^{n+1} = a^{2m+2} = (a^{m+1})^2 = 0$. Again by the previous part of the proof $a^{m+1} = 0$ and $a = 0$. Hence by induction, if $a^n = 0$ then $a = 0$ for every natural number $n$. ▷

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra, $x$, $y \in A_+$. Then the following conditions are equivalent: 1) $U_xy = 0$; 2) $U_yx = 0$.*

**Proof.** Suppose $U_xy = 0$. Then $U_zU_xz^2 = 0$ and $(U_zx)^2 = 0$ by identity (1), where $y = z^2$, $z \in A$. Hence $U_zx = 0$ by b) of lemma 1.4. Therefore

$$U_yx = U_{z^2}x = U_zU_zx = 0$$

by identity (4).

If $U_yx = 0$, then similarly we have $U_xy = 0$. ▷

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra. Then (A1)$\Longrightarrow$(B1).*

**Proof.** Let $x$ be an element in $A_+$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $\{x\}^\perp = U_e(A)$. A has a unit element by a) of lemma 1.4. Clearly $x \in \{eA(1-e)\} \oplus U_{1-e}(A)$. Let $x = y^2$, $y \in A$. Then the equality

$$y = U_ey + \{ey(1-e)\} + U_{1-e}y$$

gives

$$y^2 = (U_ey)^2 + U_ey\{ey(1-e)\} + \{ey(1-e)\}U_ey + \{ey(1-e)\}^2 +$$

$$\{ey(1-e)\}U_{1-e}y + (U_{1-e}y)^2 + U_{1-e}y\{ey(1-e)\}. \quad (5)$$

Here,

$$\{ey(1-e)\}^2 = 1/4(U_eU_y(1-e) + U_{1-e}U_ye) \quad (6)$$

by identity (2). Hence

$$(U_ey)^2 + 1/4U_eU_y(1-e) = 0$$

by equalities (5), (6) and $U_ex = 0$. But

$$(U_ey)^2 + 1/4U_eU_y(1-e) = 3/4(U_ey)^2 + 1/4U_ey^2 = 3/4(U_ey)^2 = 0,$$

and $U_ey = 0$ by b) of lemma 1.4. Then $y = U_{1-e}y + \{ey(1-e)\}$. We will prove that $y \in U_{1-e}(A)$. We have

$$U_eU_y(1-e) = U_eU_y1 - U_eU_ye = U_ey^2 - (U_ey)^2 = 0, (U_ye)^2 = U_yU_ey^2 = 0$$

by identity (1), and $U_ye = 0$ by b) of lemma 1.4. Hence $U_{1-e}U_ye = 0$ and $\{ey(1-e)\} = 0$ by (6) and lemma 1.4. Therefore $y \in U_{1-e}(A)$ and $x \in U_{1-e}(A)$. Then ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ \supset U_e(A)_+$.

Now we will prove that ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = U_e(A)_+$. Take an element $c \in {}^{\perp}\{x\}_+$, i.e. $U_xc = 0$. Then $U_cx = 0$ by lemma 1.5. Hence $c \in \{x\}^{\perp} = U_e(A)$. Therefore

$${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ \subseteq U_e(A)$$

and

$${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = U_e(A)_+.$$

Let $x$ be an arbitrary element in $A$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that ${}^{\perp}\{x^2\}_+ = U_e(A)_+$. By the previous part of the proof $x \in U_{1-e}(A)$. Hence ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ \supseteq U_e(A)_+$. Take an element $c \in {}^{\perp}\{x\}_+$, i.e. $U_xc = 0$. Then $U_{x^2}c = U_xU_xc = 0$, $U_dU_{x^2}d^2 = 0$ and $(U_dx^2)^2 = 0$ by identities (1) and (4), where $c = d^2$, $d \in A$. Hence $U_dx^2 = 0$ by b) of lemma 1.4. Therefore $U_cx^2 = U_{d^2}x^2 = U_dU_dx^2 = 0$ by identity (4). Hence $c \in \{x^2\}^{\perp} = U_f(A)$ for some idempotent $f \in A$. But, by the previous part of the proof ${}^{\perp}\{x^2\}_+ = U_f(A)_+$. At the same time ${}^{\perp}\{x^2\}_+ = U_e(A)_+$. Hence $U_f(A)_+ = U_e(A)_+$ and $f = e$. Therefore $c \in U_e(A)_+$ and ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ \subseteq U_e(A)+$. Thus

$${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = U_e(A)_+.$$

▷

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra with a unit element. If $A$ has no nilpotent elements, then (A1)$\Longleftrightarrow$(B1).*

**Proof.** (A1)$\Longrightarrow$(B1) follows by theorem 1.6.

(B1)$\Longrightarrow$(A1): Let $x$ be an element in $A_+$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that ${}^{\perp}\{x\}_+ = U_e(A)_+$. In particular, $U_xe = 0$. At the same time $(U_ex)^2 = U_eU_xe = 0$ by identity (1). Since $A$ has no nilpotent elements we obtain $U_ex = 0$ and $e \in \{x\}^{\perp}$. We have

$$U_{U_ea}x = U_eU_aU_ex = 0,$$

for each $a \in A$, by identity (3). Therefore $U_e(A) \subseteq \{x\}^{\perp}$.

Now we will prove that $\{x\}^{\perp} \subseteq U_e(A)$. Let $a$ be an element in $\{x\}^{\perp}$. Then $U_ax = 0$. Hence $U_{a^2}x = U_aU_ax = 0$ by identity (4) and $(U_ya^2)^2 = U_yU_{a^2}x = 0$ by identity (1), where $y \in A$ such that $x = y^2$, i.e. $U_ya^2 = 0$ since $A$ has no nilpotent elements. Therefore $U_xa^2 = U_{y^2}a^2 = U_yU_ya^2 = 0$ by identity (4), i.e. $a^2 \in {}^{\perp}\{x\}_+$.

Hence $a^2 \in U_e(A)_+$. Then similar to (5) the equality $a = U_e a + \{ea(1-e)\} + U_{1-e}a$ gives

$$a^2 = (U_e a)^2 + U_e a\{ea(1-e)\} + \{ea(1-e)\}U_e a + \{ea(1-e)\}^2 + \{ea(1-e)\}U_{1-e}a + (U_{1-e}a)^2 + U_{1-e}a\{ea(1-e)\}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, since $a^2 \in U_e(A)_+$ we have

$$(U_{1-e}a)^2 = 0$$

by equality (7) and by the table of multiplication of Peirce components. Therefore by the condition of theorem 6

$$U_{1-e}a = 0.$$

Then $a = U_e a + \{ea(1-e)\}$. We will prove that $a \in U_e(A)$. We have

$$\{ea(1-e)\}^2 = 1/4(U_e U_a(1-e) + U_{1-e}U_a e) \quad (8)$$

by identity (2). But

$$U_{1-e}U_a e = U_{1-e}U_a 1 - U_{1-e}U_a(1-e) = U_{1-e}a^2 - (U_{1-e}a)^2 = 0,$$

$$(U_a(1-e))^2 = U_a U_{1-e}a^2 = 0$$

by identity (1) and $U_a(1-e) = 0$ by the condition of theorem 6. Hence $U_e U_a(1-e) = 0$ and $\{ea(1-e)\} = 0$. Therefore $a = U_e a$. Hence $\{x\}^\perp \subseteq U_e(A)$. Thus $\{x\}^\perp = U_e(A)$. ▷

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra, $x \in A$. There exists a unique idempotent $e$ such that (1) $xe = x$, and (2) $xy = 0$ iff $ey = 0$. Explicitly, $\{x\}^\perp = U_{1-e}A$. The idempotent $e$ is minimal in the property (1).*

**Proof.** Let $g$ be the idempotent with $\{x\}^\perp = U_g A$, and set $e = 1 - g$; clearly $e$ has the property (1). If $h$ is any idempotent such that $xh = x$, then $(1-h)x = 0$, $U_{1-h}x = 0$ and $1 - h \in \{x\}^\perp$. Hence $(1-e)(1-h) = 1-h$, i.e. $e(1-h) = 0$, $e \leq h$. ▷

*Definition.* Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra, $x \in A$, and write $\{x\}^\perp = U_e(A)$ with $e$ idempotent. This idempotent is unique. Indeed, if $U_e(A) = U_f(A)$ with $e$ and $f$ projections, then $fe = e$ and $ef = f$, so $e = fe = ef = f$. Thus the idempotent in the definition of a Rickart Jordan algebra is unique. We will write $e = r(x)$. We call $r(x)$ the range idempotent of $x$. Thus

$$\{x\}^\perp = U_{1-r(x)}(A).$$

For idempotents $e$, $f$ in a Jordan algebra $A$, one writes $e \leq f$ in case $e \in fAf$ that is, $ef = fe = e$. For projections $e$, $f$ in a Jordan algebra $A$, the following conditions are equivalent: $e \leq f$, $e = ef$, $U_e(A) \subseteq U_f(A)$.

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra and suppose $\{e_i\}$ is a family of idempotents that has a supremum $e$. If $x \in A_+$, then $U_e x = 0$ iff $U_{e_i}x = 0$ for all $i$.*

**Proof.** In view of proposition 1.8, the following conditions are equivalent: $U_e x = 0$, $r(x)e = 0$, $e < 1 - r(x)$, $e_i < 1 - r(x)$ for all $i$, $r(x)e_i = 0$ for all $i$, $U_{e_i}x = 0$ for all $i$. ▷

**Proposition 1.10.** *The idempotents of a Rickart Jordan algebra form a lattice, with*

$$e \vee f = f + r(U1 - fe), e \wedge f = e - r(Ue(1-f)).$$

**Proof.** Write $x = U_{1-f}e$ and let $f' = r(x)$. Obviously $f' \leq f$, so $f + f'$ is an idempotent; we are to show that $f + f'$ serves as $\sup\{e, f\}$. From $x = xf' = (U_{1-f}e)f' = U_{f'}e$ we have

$$U_{1-f}e = U_{f'}e,$$
$$e = U_f e + \{fe(1-f)\} + U_{1-f}e = U_f e + \{fe(1-f)\} + U_{f'}e,$$
$$\{fe(1-f)\}^2 = U_f U_e(1-f) + U_{1-f}U_e f =$$
$$U_f U_e(1-f) - U_{1-f}U_e(1-f) + U_{1-f}U_e 1 = U_f U_e(1-f) - (U_{1-f}e)^2 + U_{1-f}e =$$
$$U_f U_e(1-f) - (U_{f'}e)^2 + U_{f'}e.$$

Therefore $(f + f')\{fe(1-f)\}^2 = \{fe(1-f)\}^2$. Hence

$$U_{1-(f+f')}\{fe(1-f)\}^2 = 0$$

and

$$U\{fe(1-f)\}U_{1-(f+f')}\{fe(1-f)\}^2 = (U_{\{fe(1-f)\}}(1-(f+f')))^2 = 0,$$

i.e.

$$U_{\{fe(1-f)\}}(1-(f+f')) = 0$$

and

$$U_{1-(f+f')}U_{\{fe(1-f)\}}(1-(f+f')) = (U_{1-(f+f')}\{fe(1-f)\})^2,$$
$$U_{1-(f+f')}\{fe(1-f)\} = 0.$$

Therefore $U_{1-(f+f')}e = 0$ and $1-(f+f') \in \{e\}^\perp = U_{1-e}(A)$. Hence $(1-e)(1-(f+f')) = 1-(f+f')$ and $e(f+f') = e$, i.e. $e \leq f + f'$. So $f + f'$ majorizes both $e$ and $f$. Suppose also $e \leq g$ and $f \leq g$ ($g$ is an idempotent); then $f = fg = gf$, $e = eg = ge$, so

$$xg = (U_{1-f}e)g = 2(1-f)((1-f)e)g - ((1-f)e)g =$$
$$2[e - fe - fe - f(fe)]g - (e - fe)g =$$
$$2[eg - (fe)g - (fe)g - (f(fe))g] - (eg - (fe)g) =$$
$$2[e - f(eg) - f(ge) - f(f(eg))] - (e - f(eg)) =$$
$$2[e - fe - fe - f(fe)] - (e - fe) = U_{1-f}e = x,$$

whence $f' \leq g$ and therefore also $f + f' \leq g$. Thus $e \vee f$ exists and is equal to $f + f'$. This establishes the first formula, and the second follows from it by duality: $e \wedge f$ exists and

$$e \wedge f = 1 - [(1-f) \vee (1-e)] =$$
$$1 - (1-e) + r(Ue(1-f)) = e - r(Ue(1-f)).$$

▷

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let $A$ be a Rickart Jordan algebra, $e$ be an idempotent. Then $\{e\}^\perp = U_{1-e}(A)$.*

**Proof.** There exists an idempotent $f \in A$ such that $\{e\}^\perp = U_f(A)$. We have

$$1 - e \in \{e\}^\perp, 1 - e \leq f$$

and

$$U_f e = 0.$$

Then

$$\{ef(1-e)\}^2 = 1/4[\{eU_f((1-e)e)(1-e)\} + U_e U_f(1-e)^2 + U_{1-e}U_f e^2] =$$
$$1/4[U_e U_f(1-e)^2 + U_{1-e}U_f e^2] = 1/4[U_e U_f e + U_e f + U_{1-e}U_f e] = U_e f = 0$$

by formula (2) and lemma 1.5. Hence $\{ef(1-e)\} = 0$ and $fe = f(U_f e + \{ef(1-f)\} + U_{1-f}e) = fU_{1-f}e = 0$. Therefore $e \leq 1-f$. Hence $e = 1-f$, $1-e = f$ and $\{e\}^\perp = U_{1-e}(A)$. ▷

## 2. Baer Jordan algebras

Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra. Fix the following conditions:

(A2) for every subset $S \subseteq A_+$ there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $S^\perp = U_e(A)$;

(B2) for every subset $S \subseteq A$ there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that ${}^\perp S_+ = U_e(A)_+$.

These conditions are Jordan analogies of Baer conditions for Baer $*$-algebras.

*Definition.* A Jordan algebra satisfying condition (A2) is called *a Baer Jordan algebra*.

*Example.* The following Jordan algebra is an example of an exceptional Jordan algebra, which is a Baer Jordan algebra: let $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ be the 27-dimensional Jordan algebra of $3 \times 3$ hermitian matrices over octonions or Cayley numbers $\mathbb{O}$. By corollary 3.6 (see section 3) $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is a Baer Jordan algebra. Hence the set $\mathcal{B}$ of all infinite sequences with components from $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is a Baer Jordan algebra with respect to componentwise algebraic operations.

Note that the Rickart Jordan algebra $\mathcal{R}$ of all infinite sequences with components from $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ and finite quantity of nonzero elements is not a Baer Jordan algebra.

*Definition.* A Baer $*$-algebra is a $*$-algebra $A$ such that, for every nonempty subset $S$ of $A$, $R(S) = gA$ for a suitable projection $g$. (It follows that $L(S) = (R(S^*))^* = (hA)^* = Ah$ for a suitable projection $h$.)

The following proposition holds:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $A$ be a Baer $*$-algebra. Then the Jordan algebra $A_{sa}$ satisfies conditions (A2) and (B2).*

**Proof.** (A2): Let $S \subseteq A_+$. Then there exists a projection $e \in A$ such that $L(S) = Ae$, where $L(S) = \{a \in A : as = 0, s \in S\}$. Then $asa = 0$ for any $a \in L(S)$. In particular for all $s \in S$ and $a \in L(S) \cap A_{sa}$ we have $asa = 0$. Since $a = ae = a^* = ea = eae$, $a \in L(S) \cap A_{sa}$ it follows that

$$L(S) \cap A_{sa} = eA_{sa}e, eA_{sa}e \subseteq S^\perp \cap A_{sa}. \quad (9)$$

Now, let $a \in S^\perp \cap A_{sa}$. Then $asa = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Suppose $a \notin L(S)$; then there exists $s \in S$ such that $as \neq 0$, i.e. $ab \neq 0$ for an element $b \in A_{sa}$ such that $s = bb^*$. Otherwise, if $ab = 0$ then $as = abb^* = (ab)b^* = 0b^* = 0$. The involution $*$ of any Baer $*$-algebra is proper [6]. So the involution $*$ of the $*$-algebra $A$ is proper and $(ab)(ab)^* = abb^*a$. Therefore $abb^*a \neq 0$. Hence $asa \neq 0$ and $a \notin S^\perp \cap A_{sa}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $a \in L(S)$. Therefore $S^\perp \cap A_{sa} \subseteq L(S)_{sa}$. Thus

$$S^\perp \cap A_{sa} = L(S)_{sa} = eA_{sa}e = U_e(A_{sa}).$$

(B2): Take $S \subseteq A_{sa}$. We have $L(S) = Ae$ for some projection $e$ in $A$. At the same time $sas = s(as) = 0$ for all $s \in S$ and $a \in L(S) \cap A_+$. Hence

$$L(S) \cap A_+ \subseteq {}^\perp S_+.$$

Now, let $a \in {}^\perp S_+$. Then $sas = 0$ for any $s \in S$. At the same time $sbbs = 0$ for any $s \in S$, where $b$ is an element in $A_{sa}$ such that $a = b^2$. We have $sb(sb)^* = sbbs$. Since

the involution $*$ is proper it follows that $sb = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Hence $sa = sbb = 0$ for every $s \in S$. Therefore $a \in L(S) \cap A_+$. Hence ${}^{\perp}S_+ \subseteq L(S) \cap A_+$. Thus

$$ {}^{\perp}S_+ = L(S) \cap A_+ = eA_+e = U_e(A)_+ $$

by (9). ▷

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra and $A_{sa}$ the Jordan algebra of all self-adjoint elements of $A$ satisfying the following condition: for each subset $S \subseteq A_+$ there exists a projection $e \in A$ such that $S^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} = U_e(A_{sa})$. Then $A$ has a unit element.*

**Proof.** This lemma follows by lemma 1.2. ▷

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $A$ be a $*$-algebra. Suppose the Jordan algebra $A_{sa}$ of all self-adjoint elements of $A$ is a Baer Jordan algebra and the involution $*$ of $A$ is proper. Then $A$ is a Baer $*$-algebra.*

**Proof.** Let $S \subseteq A$. Then $T^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} = U_e(A_{sa})$ for some projection $e$ in $A$, where $T = \{ss^* : s \in S\}$. We have $ass^*a = 0$ for all $a \in T^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}$ and $s \in S$. Hence, since the involution $*$ is proper we have $as = 0$ for all $a \in T^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}$ and $s \in S$. Therefore

$$ T^{\perp} \cap A_{sa} \subseteq L(S) $$

and

$$ U_e(A) \subseteq L(S). $$

By lemma 2.2 $A$ has a unit element. Hence $e \in L(S)$, i.e. $es = 0$ for any $s \in S$. Then $aes = 0$ for every $a \in A$ and $s \in S$. Hence $Ae \subseteq L(S)$.

Let $a$ be an element of $L(S)$. Then $as = 0$ for any $s \in S$. Hence $a^*ass^*a^*a = 0$ and $a^*a \in T^{\perp} \cap A_{sa}$. Therefore $a^*a = ea^*ae$ and $(1-e)a^*a(1-e) = 0$. Hence, since the involution $*$ is proper we have $a(1-e) = 0$, i.e. $a = ae$ and $a \in Ae$. Thus

$$ L(S) = Ae. $$

▷

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $A$ be a Baer Jordan algebra. Then*

*a) $A$ has a unit element;*

*b) the algebra $A$ has no nilpotent elements.*

**Proof.** This lemma follows by lemma 1.4. ▷

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra. Then (A2)$\Longrightarrow$(B2).*

**Proof.** By a) of lemma 2.4 $A$ has a unit element. Let $S$ be a subset of $A$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $({}^{\perp}S_+)^{\perp} = U_e(A)$. But we have

$$ U_{1-e}(A_+)^{\perp} = U_e(A) $$

by lemma 1.11 and, if $a \notin U_{1-e}(A)_+$ for some $a \in {}^{\perp}S_+$, then $U_e a \neq 0$ and the set $({}^{\perp}S_+)^{\perp}$ does not equal to $U_e(A)$. Indeed, since $({}^{\perp}S_+)^{\perp} = U_e(A)$ we have $U_e a = 0$. Let $b \in A$ be an element such that $a = b^2$. The equality $b = U_e b + \{eb(1-e)\} + U_{1-e}b$ gives

$$ b^2 = (U_e b)^2 + U_e b\{eb(1-e)\} + \{eb(1-e)\}U_e b + \{eb(1-e)\}^2 + $$
$$ \{eb(1-e)\}U_{1-e}b + (U_{1-e}b)^2 + U_{1-e}b\{eb(1-e)\}. \qquad (10) $$

We have

$$ \{eb(1-e)\}^2 = 1/4(U_e U_b(1-e) + U_{1-e}U_b e) \qquad (11) $$

by identity (2). Hence, since $U_e a = 0$ we have

$$ U_e b U_e b + 1/4 U_e U_b(1-e) = 0 $$

by (10) and by the table of multiplication of Peirce components. But

$$U_e b U_e b + 1/4 U_e U_b (1-e) = 3/4 U_e b U_e b + 1/4 U_e b^2 = 3/4 (U_e b)^2 = 0.$$

Hence by b) of lemma 2.4 $U_e b = 0$. Then $b = U_{1-e} b + \{eb(1-e)\}$. We prove that $\{eb(1-e)\} = 0$. We have

$$U_e U_b (1-e) = U_e U_b 1 - U_e U_b e = U_e b^2 - (U_e b)^2 = 0,$$

$$(U_b e)^2 = U_b U_e b^2 = 0$$

by identity (1) and $U_b e = 0$ by b) of lemma 2.4. Hence $U_{1-e} U_b e = 0$, $\{eb(1-e)\}^2 = 0$ by (11) and $\{eb(1-e)\} = 0$. Therefore $b \in U_{1-e}(A)$ and $a \in U_{1-e}(A)$. Thus ${}^{\perp}S_+ \subseteq U_{1-e}(A)_+$. By lemma 2.4 $A$ has a unit element. Therefore $1-e \in {}^{\perp} S_+$, i.e. $U_a(1-e) = 0$, for all $a \in S$. By condition (A2) and by lemma 1.11 $\{1-e\}^{\perp} = U_e(A)$.

Now we prove that $U_{1-e}(A)_+ \subseteq^{\perp} S_+$. Since $({}^{\perp}S_+)^{\perp} = U_e(A)$ we have $S \subseteq U_e(A)$. Hence

$$U_s U_{1-e} a = U_{se} U_{1-e} a = U_s U_e U_{1-e} a = U_s U_{e(1-e)} a = U_s U_0 a = 0$$

for all $s \in S$ and $a \in A$ by identity $(4')$. Therefore $U_{1-e}(A)_+ \subseteq^{\perp} S_+$. Thus ${}^{\perp}S_+ = U_{1-e}(A)_+$. ▷

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra. If $A$ has no nilpotent elements, then (A2)⟺(B2).*

**Proof.** (A2)⟹(B2) follows by theorem 2.5.

(B2)⟹(A2): By 2) of lemma 2.4 $A$ has a unit element. Let $S$ be a subset of $A_+$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that ${}^{\perp}(S^{\perp})_+ = U_e(A)_+$. If $a \notin U_{1-e}(A)$ for some $a \in S^{\perp}$, then $U_a e \neq 0$ and the set ${}^{\perp}(S^{\perp})_+$ does not equal to $U_e(A)_+$. Indeed, since ${}^{\perp}(S^{\perp})_+ = U_e(A)_+$ we have $U_a e = 0$. Then $U_a U_a e = U_{a^2} e = 0$ and $U_e U_{a^2} e = 0$ and $(U_e a^2)^2 = 0$ by identity (4). Hence $U_e a^2 = 0$ by the condition of theorem 2.6. As in the proof theorem 2.5 we have $U_e a = 0$. Then

$$a = U_{1-e} a + \{ea(1-e)\}.$$

Since $a \notin U_{1-e}(A)_+$ we have $\{ea(1-e)\} \neq 0$. Then $U_e a^2 \neq 0$ by the proof of theorem 2.5. This is a contradiction. Therefore $a \in U_{1-e}(A)$ and $S^{\perp} \subseteq U_{1-e}(A)$.

Now we have to show that $U_{1-e}(A) \subseteq S^{\perp}$. Since ${}^{\perp}(S^{\perp})_+ = U_e(A)_+$, $S \subseteq^{\perp} (S^{\perp})_+$ we obtain

$$S \subseteq U_e(A)_+$$

and

$$U_{1-e} s = 0$$

for all $s \in S$. Therefore $1-e \in S^{\perp}$. Then for any $a \in U_{1-e}(A)$ we have $U_a s = U_{U_{1-e} a} s = U_{1-e} U_a U_{1-e} s = 0$ for all $s \in S$ by identity (3). Hence $U_{1-e}(A) \subseteq S^{\perp}$ and $U_{1-e}(A) = S^{\perp}$. This concludes the proof. ▷

**Theorem 2.7.** *The following conditions are equivalent: (a) $A$ is a Baer Jordan algebra; (b) $A$ is a Rickart Jordan algebra and the set of all idempotents of $A$ is a complete lattice.*

*Proof.* (a)⟹(b): By proposition 1.10 of section 1 all idempotents of a Baer Jordan algebra form a lattice. Let $\{e_i\}$ be an arbitrary family of idempotents in A. Then there exists an idempotent $e$ in $A$ such that $\{e_i\}^{\perp} = U_{1-e}(A)$. We prove that $\sup_i e_i = e$. Indeed, let $g$ be an idempotent such that $g \geq e_i$ for all $i$. Then $e_i(1-g) = 0$ for all $i$. Hence $U_{1-g} e_i = 0$ for all $i$ and $1-g \in \{e_i\}^{\perp}$. Hence $1-g \in U_{1-e}(A)$ and $(1-e)(1-g) = 1-g$, $1-g-e+eg = 1-g$, $eg = e$.

Therefore $e \leq g$. Since $g$ is chosen arbitrarily $\sup_i e_i = e$. At the same time $\inf_i e_i = 1 - \sup_i(1 - e_i)$.

(b)$\Longrightarrow$(a): Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be any subset of $A_+$; we are to show that there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $S^\perp = U_e(A)$. Now,

$$S^\perp = \cap_{\alpha\in\Omega}\{x_\alpha\}^\perp.$$

Since $A$ is a Rickart Jordan algebra, there exists an idempotent $e_\alpha \in A$ such that $\{x_\alpha\}^\perp = U_{e_\alpha}(A)$ for any $\alpha$. Let $e = inf_\alpha e_\alpha$ in $A$, which exists by the hypothesis of the theorem. Then

$$e = 1 - sup_\alpha(1 - e_\alpha)$$

in $A$. We have

$$U_e(A) \subseteq \{x_\alpha\}^\perp$$

for any $\alpha$. Hence $U_e(A) \subseteq S^\perp$. We prove that $\cap_{\alpha\in\Omega}\{x_\alpha\}^\perp \subseteq U_e(A)$. Let $a$ be a positive element in $\cap_{\alpha\in\Omega}\{x_\alpha\}^\perp$. Then $a \in U_{e_\alpha}(A)$ and $ae_\alpha = a$, i.e. $U_{1-e_\alpha}a = 0$ for any $\alpha$. Hence

$$U_{\sup_\alpha(1-e_\alpha)}a = 0,$$

i.e.

$$U_{1-e}a = 0$$

by proposition 1.9 of section 1. Therefore $U_a(1 - e) = 0$ by lemma 1.5 of section 1. Hence $a \in U_e(A)$, i.e.

$$(S^\perp)_+ \subseteq U_e(A).$$

Now, let $a$ be an arbitrary element in $\cap_{\alpha\in\Omega}\{x_\alpha\}^\perp$. Then $a^2 \in U_e(A)$, i.e. $(1 - e)a^2 = 0$. Hence

$$U_{1-e}a^2 = 0, U_aU_{1-e}a^2 = (U_a(1 - e))^2 = 0$$

and $U_a(1-e) = 0$. By lemma 1.11 of section 1 $\{1-e\}^\perp = U_e(A)$ and $a \in \{1-e\}^\perp$. Therefore $a \in U_e(A)$. Thus $S^\perp = U_e(A)$ and $A$ is a Baer Jordan algebra. $\triangleright$

## 3. Finite - dimensional Baer Jordan algebras

By lemma 2.9.4 in [4] and by its proof we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $A$ be a finite - dimensional, unital Jordan algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with no nilpotent elements. Then we have:*

*(i) An idempotent $p$ in $A$ is minimal if and only if $\{pAp\} = Fp$, , where $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.*

*(ii) Any element of $A$ is contained in some maximal associative subalgebra of $A$, and every such subalgebra is of the form $F_1p_1 \oplus F_2p_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_np_n$, where $p_1,\ldots,$ $p_n$ are pairwise orthogonal minimal idempotents with sum 1, $F_i \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.*

*(iii) If $p$ and $q$ are orthogonal minimal idempotents in $A$ and $a \in \{pAq\}$, then $a^2 = \lambda(p + q)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.*

*Definition.* Let $A$ be a Jordan algebra and $p$, $q$ be minimal idempotents. We say that $p$, $q$ are *connected*, if $\{pAq\} \neq \{0\}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional, unital Jordan algebra. Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ be a family of pairwise orthogonal minimal idempotents with sum 1. Write $p_i \sim p_j$ if $p_i$ and $p_j$ are connected. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, and for all $i$, $\sum_{p_j\sim p_i} p_j$ is a central idempotent in $A$.*

**Proof.** It is clear that the relation $\sim$ is reflexive and symmetric. We prove transitivity of this relation.

If $p_i \sim p_j \sim p_k$ then there exist nonzero elements $a$ and $b$ in the Peirce components $\{p_iAp_j\}$, $\{p_jAp_k\}$ respectively. Let $\lambda$, $\mu$ be numbers such that $a^2 = \lambda(p_i+p_j)$, $b^2 = \mu(p_j + p_k)$ respectively. We have $ab \in \{p_iAp_j\}$ and

$$4(ab)^2 = 2aU_ba + U_ab^2 + U_ba^2$$

by identity $(3')$. Hence

$$U_ab^2 = U_a(\mu(p_j + p_k)) = \mu a^2 - \mu a^2(p_j + p_k) = \lambda\mu(p_i + p_j) - \lambda\mu p_j,$$

$$U_ba^2 = U_b(\lambda(p_i + p_j)) = \lambda b^2 - \lambda b^2(p_j + p_i) = \lambda\mu(p_j + p_k) - \lambda\mu p_j,$$

$$2aU_ba = 2a(2(b(ba) - b^2a) = -2a\mu((p_j + p_k)a) = -\mu a^2 = -\lambda\mu(p_i + p_j)$$

and

$$4(ab)^2 = 2aU_ba + U_ab^2 + U_ba^2 =$$

$$-\lambda\mu(p_i + p_j) + \lambda\mu(p_i + p_j) - \lambda\mu p_j + \lambda\mu(p_j + p_k) - \lambda\mu p_j =$$

$$\lambda\mu(p_j + p_k) - 2\lambda\mu p_j = \lambda\mu(p_k - p_j) \neq 0.$$

So $ab \neq 0$. Therefore $p_i \sim p_k$ and the given relation is transitive, so $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.

Let $e = \sum_{p_j \sim p_i} p_j$. To show that $e$ is central it is enough to show that $e$ operator commutes with any element of $A_{kl} = \{p_kAp_l\}$ for any $k$, $l$. This is clear if $p_k \sim p_i \sim p_l$, or if neither $p_k \sim p_i$ nor $p_l \sim p_i$, for then, if $a \in A_{kl}$, $a \circ e = a$ or $a \circ e = 0$, so 2.5.5 in [4] shows $[T_a, T_e] = 0$. If, on the other hand, $p_k \sim p_i$ and the projections $p_i$, $p_l$ are not equivalent, then $A_{kl} = 0$ since $\sim$ is transitive. This completes the proof. ▷

**Theorem 3.3.** *Every finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra $A$ without nilpotent elements is a direct sum of simple algebras. If $A$ is simple then it contains $n \geq 1$ pairwise orthogonal and connected minimal idempotents with sum 1.*

**Proof.** This theorem can be proven as in the proof of theorem 2.9.6 in [4].

Indeed, by lemma 3.1 $A$ contains $n \geq 1$ pairwise orthogonal minimal idempotents $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ with sum 1. If $e$ is a central projection in $A$ then $eA$ is an ideal, and $A = eA+(1-e)A$ is an algebra direct sum. Therefore, from lemma 3.2 we may conclude two things. First, if $A$ is simple there can be no nontrivial central projections, and so $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are all pairwise connected. Secondly, $A$ is a direct sum of algebras which do contain pairwise orthogonal and connected minimal idempotents with sum 1. If $A$ satisfies this requirement we must show that $A$ is simple. So let $I$ be an ideal in $A$, let $a \in I$ be nonzero, and write $a = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij}$, where $a_{ij} \in A_{ij} = \{p_iAp_j\}$. Pick $i$, $j$ so that $a_{ij} \neq 0$. If $i = j$, then $a_{ii} = \{p_iap_i\} \in I$. Since by (i) of lemma 3.1 $a_{ii} = \lambda p_i$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, $p_i \in I$. If $i \neq j$ then by (iii) of lemma 3.1 $a_{ij}^2 = \lambda(p_i + p_j)$, $a_{ij} = 2\{p_iap_j\} \in I$, so again $p_i = \lambda^{-1}p_ia_{ij}^2$ belongs to $I$. This implies that any $b \in A_{ki}$ belongs to $I$, for any $k$, since $b = 2p_ib$ (or $b = p_ib$, if $k = i$). Repeat the previous argument with any nonzero element of $A_{ki}$ to conclude $p_k \in I$, so $1 = \sum p_k \in I$, and thus $I = A$, i.e. $A$ is simple. ▷

**Proposition 3.4.** *Every finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra $A$ without nilpotent elements is a Rickart Jordan algebra.*

**Proof.** Let $a \in A$ be an arbitrary positive element. There exists a maximal associative subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_o$ containing $a$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_o = F_1p_1 \oplus F_2p_2 \oplus \ldots F_np_n,$$

where $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are pairwise orthogonal minimal idempotents with sum 1, $F_i \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Hence there exist $\lambda_i \in F_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, $k \leq n$, such that

$$a = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k e_k$$

for some subset $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\} \subseteq \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$.

We prove that $\{a\}^\perp = U_{1-e}(A)$, where $e = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_k$.

Indeed, it is clear that $U_{1-e}(A) \subseteq \{a\}^\perp$. Let $b$ be an element in $\{a\}^\perp$. Then $U_b a = 0$. There exist elements $c$, $d$ in $A$ such that $cb$, $da$ are idempotents, $e = da$ and

$$U_c U_b a = U_{cb} a = 0.$$

Hence $U_a(cb) = 0$ by lemma 1.5 of section 1 and

$$U_d U_a(cb) = U_{da}(cb) = U_e(cb) = 0$$

by $(4')$. Let $f = cb$. Then $U_e f = 0$. Therefore $U_f e = 0$. Hence

$$4(ef)^2 = 2eU_f e + U_e f^2 + U_f e^2 =$$

$$2eU_f e + U_e f + U_f e = 0.$$

by Macdonald's identity $(3')$. Hence $fe = 0$. Thus $f \leq 1 - e$ and $b \in U_{1-e}(A)$. This completes the proof. ▷

**Proposition 3.5.** *The set of all idempotents of every finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra $A$ without nilpotent elements is a complete lattice.*

**Proof.** Let $\{e_i\}$ be a set of idempotents of $A$. Obviously $e_i \leq 1$ for every index $i$. If there does not exist a minimal idempotent $q_1$ such that $e_i \leq 1 - q_1$ for every index $i$ then $\sup_i e_i = 1$, otherwise, if there does not exist a minimal idempotent $q_2$ such that $e_i \leq 1 - q_1 - q_2$ for every index $i$ then $\sup_i e_i = 1 - q_1$ and so on. Here $q_1$, $q_2$,... are pairwise orthogonal idempotents. Hence the sequence $q_1$, $q_2$,... is finite and $\{e_i\}$ has a least upper bound in $A$. This gives us

$$\inf_i e_i = 1 - \sup_i (1 - e_i).$$

Thus set of all idempotents of $A$ is a complete lattice. ▷

**Corollary 3.6.** *Every finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra without nilpotent elements is a Baer Jordan algebra.*

By corollary 3.6 and lemma 2.4 the following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional Jordan algebra $A$ with identity. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

*1) $A$ has no nilpotent elements,*

*2) $A$ is a Baer Jordan algebra.*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional unital Jordan algebra $A$ without nilpotent elements. Suppose that $A$ contains $n \geq 3$ pairwise orthogonal and strongly connected minimal idempotents with sum 1. Then $A$ is isomorphic to one of $H_n(\mathbb{R})$, $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ or, if $n = 3$, $H_3(\mathbb{O})$.*

**Proof.** The coordinatization theorem (2.8.9 in [4]) tells us that $A \cong H_n(R)$, for some real $*$ algebra $R$.

Let $a \in R$ be an arbitrary element. Then $ae_{ij} + a^* e_{ji} \in \{e_i A e_j\}$. Hence

$$(ae_{ij} + a^* e_{ji})^2 = aa^* e_{ii} + a^* a e_{jj} = \lambda(e_{ii} + e_{jj})$$

and $aa^* e_{ii} = \lambda e_{ii}$ by (i) of lemma 3.1. Therefore $aa^* = \lambda 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R_{sa} = \mathbb{R}1$.

Suppose that $a \in R$ is not zero. Let $x = ae_{12} + a^* e_{21}$. Then

$$0 \neq x^2 = (aa^*)e_{11} + (a^* a)e_{22}.$$

Since the Jordan algebra $A$ is without nilpotent elements we have either $aa^*$ or $a^*a$ is nonzero.

However, since $a + a^* \in R_{sa} = \mathbb{R}1$, $a$ and $a^*$ commute, so $a^*a \neq 0$. By 2.7.8 in [4] $R$ is $*$ isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ or, if $n = 3$, to $\mathbb{O}$. ▷

Since every finite dimensional Baer Jordan algebra has no nonzero nilpotent elements we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Every finite dimensional Baer Jordan algebra $A$ with $n \geq 3$ pairwise orthogonal and strongly connected minimal idempotents with sum 1 is isomorphic to one of $H_n(\mathbb{R})$, $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ or, if $n = 3$, $H_3(\mathbb{O})$.*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional (with respect to field $\mathbb{R}$), formally real, unital Jordan algebra which also contains two connected minimal idempotents with sum 1. Then*

*1) if $\dim(A) = 3$, then $A \cong H_2(\mathbb{R})$,*

*2) if $\dim(A) = 4$, then $A \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus H$, where $H$ is a two dimensional Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Let $p$ and $q$ be minimal idempotents in such algebra $A$, with $p + q = 1$. Clearly, $p$ and $q$ are orthogonal. The following Peirce decomposition holds

$$A = \mathbb{R}p \oplus \mathbb{R}q \oplus A_{12}.$$

where $A_{12} = \{pAq\}$.

1): It is clear that, if $A$ is three dimensional then there exists an element $s \in A_{12}$ such that

$$A_{12} = \mathbb{R}s, s^2 = p + q.$$

Then $U_s p = 2s(sp) - s^2 p = s^2 - p = p + q - p = q$. Similarly $U_s q = p$. Hence $A \cong H_2(\mathbb{R})$.

2): Now suppose that $A$ is four dimensional. In this case the vector space $A_{12}$ is two dimensional. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of $A_{12}$. By (v) of lemma 2.9.4 in [4] $e_i^2 = \lambda_i(p + q)$, $i = 1, 2$ for some positive numbers $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $e_i^2 = p + q$, $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$s_1 = p - q, s_2 = e_1 + e_2, s_3 = e_1 - e_2.$$

Then $s_i s_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Also we may assume $s_i^2 = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Indeed, we have

$$e_1 + e_2 \in A_{12}, (e_1 + e_2)^2 = \lambda(p + q),$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. At the same time,

$$(e_1 + e_2)^2 = e_1^2 + 2e_1e_2 + e_2^2 = 2(p + q) + 2(\alpha p + \beta q),$$

for some real numbers $\alpha$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e_1e_2 = \alpha p + \beta q$. Hence $\alpha = \beta = \frac{\lambda - 2}{2}$, $\lambda = 2\alpha + 2$. Since $\lambda > 0$ we have $\alpha > -1$. Hence we may assume that

$$s_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha + 2}}(e_1 + e_2).$$

Similarly we have

$$(e_1 - e_2)^2 = (2 - 2\alpha)(p + q), 1 > \alpha.$$

Hence $-1 < \alpha < 1$ and we may assume that

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - 2\alpha}}(e_1 - e_2).$$

Therefore $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ is a spin system and $A$ is a spin factor. ▷

## References

[1] *Jordan P., von Neumann J. and Wigner E.* On an algebraic generalisation of the quantum mechanical formalism. Annals Math.-1934.-Vol.35.-P.29-64.
[2] *Arzikulov F.N.* On abstract JW-algebras. Sib. Math. J., **39** (1998), 20-27.
[3] *Kaup W., McCrimmon K., Petersson H.P.* Jordan Algebras: Proceedings of the Conference Held in Oberwolfach, (Germany, August 9-15, 1992) Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York 1994.
[4] *Hanhe-Olsen H., Stôrmer E.* Jordan Operator Algebras. Boston etc.:Pitman Publ. Inc. -1984.
[5] *McCrimmon K.* A test of Jordan algebras. Springer, New York and etc, 2004, P. 562.
[6] *Berberian S. K.* Baer *-Algebras,Spalgebraer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
*E-mail address*: sh_ayupov@mail.ru

Faculty of Mathematics, Andizhan State University, Andizhan, Uzbekistan
*E-mail address*: arzikulovfn@rambler.ru